

## **National State Auditors Association**

STATE OF VERMONT OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

PEER REVIEW REPORT JANUARY 1, 2006 TO JANUARY 31, 2007

449 Lewis Hargett Circle Suite 290 Lexington, KY 40503 (859) 276-1147 www.nasact.org



## **National State Auditors Association**

February 23, 2007

Mr. Tom Salmon, State Auditor Vermont Office of the State Auditor 133 State Street Montpelier, Vermont 05633-5101

Dear Mr. Salmon:

We have reviewed the system of quality control for financial audits of the Vermont Office of the State Auditor (the office) in effect for the period January 1, 2006 through January 31, 2007. A system of quality control encompasses the office's organizational structure and the policies adopted and procedures established to provide it with reasonable assurance of conforming with government auditing standards. The design of the system and compliance with it are the responsibility of the office. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the design of the system, and the office's compliance with the system based on our review.

We conducted our review in accordance with the policies and procedures for external peer reviews established by the National State Auditors Association (NSAA). In performing our review, we obtained an understanding of the office's system of quality control for engagements conducted in accordance with government auditing standards. In addition, we tested compliance with the office's quality control policies and procedures to the extent we considered appropriate. These tests covered the application of the office's policies and procedures on selected engagements. The engagements selected represented a reasonable cross-section of the office's engagements conducted in accordance with government auditing standards. We believe that the procedures we performed provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Our review was based on selective tests; therefore it would not necessarily disclose all weaknesses in the system of quality control or all instances of lack of compliance with it. Also, there are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of quality control; therefore noncompliance with the system of quality control may occur and not be detected. Projection of any evaluation of a system of quality control to future periods is subject to the risk that the system of quality control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or because the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

449 Lewis Hargett Circle, Suite 290, Lexington, Kentucky 40503-3590, Telephone (859) 276-1147, Fax (859) 278-0507 444 N. Capitol Street, NW, Suite 234, Washington, DC 20001, Telephone (202) 624-5451, Fax (202) 624-5473 www.nasact.org In our opinion, the system of quality control for financial audits of the Vermont Office of the State Auditor in effect for the period January 1, 2006 through January 31, 2007 has been suitably designed and was complied with during the period to provide reasonable assurance of conforming with government auditing standards.

As is customary in a peer review, we have issued a letter under this date that sets forth comments that were not considered to be of sufficient significance to affect the opinion expressed in this report.

Don Dunlap, CPA

Don Dunlap, CPA Team Leader National State Auditors Association External Peer Review Team

Vice M. Fast

Alice M. Fast, CPA Concurring Reviewer National State Auditors Association External Peer Review Team